

Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P28020/WO Kf	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/EP2004/002218	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 04.03.2004	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 03.04.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC 		
Applicant ROHDE & SCHWARZ GMBH & CO. KG		

1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.																																																																								
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.																																																																								
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <input type="checkbox"/> (<i>sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau</i>) a total of _____ sheets, as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). <input type="checkbox"/> sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. b. <input type="checkbox"/> (<i>sent to the International Bureau only</i>) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) _____, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). 																																																																								
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15px; text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 15px; text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. I</td> <td>Basis of the report</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. II</td> <td>Priority</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. III</td> <td>Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. IV</td> <td>Lack of unity of invention</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. V</td> <td>Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. VI</td> <td>Certain documents cited</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. VII</td> <td>Certain defects in the international application</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. VIII</td> <td>Certain observations on the international application</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report							Box No. II	Priority							Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention							Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							Box No. VI	Certain documents cited							Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application							Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																																																	
Box No. I	Basis of the report																																																																							
Box No. II	Priority																																																																							
Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability																																																																							
Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention																																																																							
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																																																																							
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited																																																																							
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application																																																																							
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application																																																																							

Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of this report
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/002218

Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:

 - international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))
 - publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)
 - international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):

the international application as originally filed/furnished

the description:
 pages 1-14 as originally filed/furnished
 pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____
 pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____

the claims:
 nos. 1-12 as originally filed/furnished
 nos.* _____ as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
 nos.* _____ received by this Authority on _____
 nos.* _____ received by this Authority on _____

the drawings:
 sheets 1/4-4/4 as originally filed/furnished
 sheets* _____ received by this Authority on _____
 sheets* _____ received by this Authority on _____

a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

the description, pages _____
 the claims, nos. _____
 the drawings, sheets/figs _____
 the sequence listing (specify): _____
 any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): _____
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

the description, pages _____
 the claims, nos. _____
 the drawings, sheets/figs _____
 the sequence listing (specify): _____
 any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): _____

* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/002218

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
------------------	--

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	1 - 12	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1 - 12	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1 - 12	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US-A-5 657 325 (LOU HUI LING ET AL) 12 August 1997
(1997-08-12), mentioned in the application

The present application satisfies the criteria of PCT Article 33(2) and (3), and therefore the subject matter of claims 1-11 is considered novel and inventive:

Description of the invention: The invention relates to a method for data transfer (and a corresponding measuring device) which re-requests a redundant data block if an error is identified in the originally transmitted data block. The request is received by the transceiver, but instead of investigating the redundant data block, the first data block is retransmitted.

Prior art: US 5 657 325 discloses a data transfer which has repeated adapted puncturing so as to correct erroneous data packets in the receiver.

Objective problem: Imprecise determining of error rates which, in a test system, also lead to the inaccurate estimation of the assessment of the quality of the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/002218

Box No. V **Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

communication channel on the basis of the errors occurring which are caused by the hardware of the transceiver and which would not be identifiable with constant use of stepwise redundancy.

Solution: The selection and transmission of the original data block instead of a redundant data block.

Assessment of the difference: The subject matter of the solution is novel (PCT Article 33(2)) and involves an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) that is neither disclosed nor able to be found.

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Concerning clarity (with respect to the independent claims)

- a. The re-request system having a stepwise redundancy must be included in the claims as a necessary technical feature.
- b. It is not clear (at least in the method steps) how the first data block and the (different) redundant data block are produced with respect to the original data block.
- c. It is not clear what the condition of the retransmission of the original data block is.
- d. The problem addressed by the invention must also be included in the claims so as to make clear the inventive step involved.